

Fedosov Quantization on Symplectic Ringed Spaces

by

Izu Vaisman

ABSTRACT. We expose the basics of the Fedosov quantization procedure, placed in the general framework of symplectic ringed spaces. This framework also includes some Poisson manifolds with non regular Poisson structures, presymplectic manifolds, complex analytic symplectic manifolds, etc.

On a symplectic manifold (M, ω) *Fedosov quantization* is an embedding of the algebra $C^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})[[h]]$ of formal power series in h , with complex valued differentiable functions on M as coefficients, into the algebra of the cross sections of the *Weyl algebras bundle* $W(TM)$ by means of parallel translation with respect to a *generalized Abelian connection*. This paper is an exposition of the basics of Fedosov quantization. The difference between our exposition and that of the original works [4, 5] consists in the fact that we place Fedosov's construction in the general framework of *symplectic ringed spaces*. The generalization is purely formal, and should be seen as folklore, but, it allows for new applications including a class of Poisson manifolds with possibly non regular Poisson structure, presymplectic manifolds, holomorphic symplectic manifolds, etc. On the other hand, it is important to notice that in the general case one has an obstruction to the existence of a connection. Therefore, Fedosov quantization can be used only on symplectic ringed spaces where this obstruction vanishes.

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 53D20.

Key words and phrases: Herz-Reinhart-Lie ringed space. Symplectic ringed space. Fedosov quantization.

1 Symplectic Ringed Spaces

A *Herz-Reinhart Lie algebra*, shortly *HRL-algebra*, (or *Lie pseudo-algebra* [7, 12]) L over a pair (K, C) , where K is a commutative ring with unit and C is a commutative K -algebra with unit, is a Lie algebra over K which also is a C -module, and is endowed with a mapping $\iota : L \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, the algebra of derivations of C over K , that is both a K -Lie algebra and a C -module homomorphism, with the compatibility condition

$$(1.1) \quad [X, fY] = f[X, Y] + (\iota(X)f)Y \quad (f \in C; X, Y \in L).$$

The fundamental example is $K = \mathbf{R}$, $C = C^\infty(M)$, $L = \Gamma TM$, where M is an arbitrary differentiable manifold, and Γ always denotes spaces of cross sections of vector bundles or sheaves.

Now, we define a *Herz-Reinhart-Lie (HRL)-ringed space*, as a topological space M endowed with a sheaf $\mathcal{C}$ of commutative K -algebras with unit and a *sheaf* $\mathcal{L}$ of *HRL-algebras* over $(K, \mathcal{C})$. This latter notion has the obvious definition namely, for each open subset U of M the space of sections $\Gamma_U(\mathcal{L})$ is an HRL-algebra over $(K, \Gamma_U(\mathcal{C}))$, and the restrictions are homomorphisms of HRL-algebras. The sheaves of HRL-algebras were studied in [8] under the name of sheaves of *twisted Lie algebras*. An HRL-ringd space whose sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{C}$ -module of rank m will be called an *HRL-ringd space of rank m* .

Example 1.1 An m -dimensional differentiable (respectively, complex analytic) manifold M is an HRL-ringd space of rank m , with $K = \mathbf{R}$ (respectively, $K = \mathbf{C}$), $\mathcal{C} = C^\infty(M)$ (respectively $\mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(M)$), the sheaf of germs of differentiable (respectively, holomorphic) functions on M , and $\mathcal{L}$ the sheaf of germs of differentiable (respectively, holomorphic) vector fields on M .

Example 1.2 Let $p : A \rightarrow M$ be a differentiable vector bundle of rank m which is a Lie algebroid of anchor $\iota : A \rightarrow TM$ [12]. Then, if we take $K = \mathbf{R}$, $\mathcal{C} = C^\infty(M)$, $\mathcal{L}$ the sheaf $\underline{A}$ of germs of differentiable cross sections of A , and ι induced by the anchor, we again get an HRL-ringd space of rank m .

Example 1.3 Let M be a differentiable manifold endowed with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of codimension m , and let $\nu\mathcal{F}$ be the transversal bundle of $\mathcal{F}$. Take $K = \mathbf{R}$, $\mathcal{C}$ the sheaf of germs of differentiable functions on M which are constant along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ (*foliated functions*), $\mathcal{L}$ the sheaf of germs of foliated cross sections of $\nu\mathcal{F}$, and $\iota(X)f = \bar{X}f$, where $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $X \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\bar{X}$ is a

germ of foliated vector field on M which projects onto X . The result is a structure of HRL-ringed space of rank m . (We refer to [13] for the theory of foliated manifolds.)

Because the structure of HRL-ringed space is similar to that of Lie algebroid, the same formulas as in the latter case [9, 12] yield a differential calculus for HRL-ringed spaces.

If we refer to the sheaf

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega^k(M) := \text{Alt}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{C})$$

as the sheaf of germs of differential k -forms ($:=$ denotes a definition), there exists an exterior differential $d : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ defined for $\lambda \in \Omega^k(M)$ by

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} d\lambda(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \iota(X_i)(\lambda(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j=1}^k (-1)^{i+j} \lambda([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k). \end{aligned}$$

In (1.3), and in all the similar formulas of this paper, the germs always are at the same point of M . The operator d satisfies $d^2 = 0$, and leads to a usual definition of de Rham cohomology spaces $H^k(\mathcal{L})$. Notice also the existence of the *wedge product* which makes the graded exterior algebra $\{\Omega^k(M)\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ into a differential graded algebra with respect to the exterior differential d .

Furthermore, if the sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ over M is a $\mathcal{C}$ -module, we define the spaces of $\mathcal{M}$ -valued differential forms by

$$(1.4) \quad \Omega^k(M, \mathcal{M}) := \text{Alt}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{M}),$$

and a *connection* on $\mathcal{M}$ is a K -linear homomorphism

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \mathcal{M}),$$

which satisfies the condition

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla(f\xi) = f\nabla\xi + (df)\xi \quad (f \in \mathcal{C}, \xi \in \mathcal{M}).$$

We will also use the notation $\nabla_Y \xi := (\nabla\xi)(Y)$.

Using a connection, and in analogy with formula (1.3), for all $\lambda \in \Omega^k(M, \mathcal{M})$ one defines

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \lambda(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \nabla_{X_i} (\lambda(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j=1}^k (-1)^{i+j} \lambda([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k) \in \Omega^{k+1}(M, \mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (1.7) may be used to define the *curvature of the connection* ∇

$$(1.8) \quad \Phi = \nabla^2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{M}),$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad (\Phi\xi)(Y, Z) = \nabla_Y \nabla_Z \xi - \nabla_Z \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{[Y, Z]} \xi.$$

It is easy to check that Φ is a homomorphism over $\mathcal{C}$, and satisfies the *Bianchi identity*

$$(1.10) \quad \nabla(\Phi(\xi))(Y, Z, U) = \sum_{Cycl.(Y, Z, U)} \Phi(\nabla_Y \xi)(Z, U).$$

In (1.9) and (1.10) $Y, Z, U \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{M}$.

The operators ∇_Y can be extended to any *tensorial sheaf* produced from $\mathcal{M}$ by the usual formulas of differential geometry. In the whole paper, tensor and wedge product sheaves are seen as sheaves of $\mathcal{C}$ -multilinear morphisms (e.g., [15]). In particular, the curvature Φ can also be seen as a *2-form with values in $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$* , and, then, the Bianchi identity takes the simple classical form [7, 15]

$$(1.11) \quad \nabla \Phi = 0.$$

Our interest will be in connections on $\mathcal{L}$, also called *connections on the HRL-ringed space M* . In this case another important invariant is the *torsion*, defined by

$$(1.12) \quad T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y], \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{L}).$$

From (1.1) and (1.6), it follows that $T \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{L})$, and a simple computation yields the *torsion Bianchi identity*

$$(1.13) \quad (\nabla T)(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{Cycl.(X,Y,Z)} \Phi(X)(Y, Z) \quad (X, Y, Z \in \Gamma \mathcal{L}).$$

The torsion of a connection on $\mathcal{L}$ yields the following expression of the exterior differential (1.3)

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} d\lambda(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i (\nabla_{X_i} \lambda)(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j=1}^k (-1)^{i+j} \lambda(T(X_i, X_j), X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k). \end{aligned}$$

This formula suggests considering the operator

$$(1.15) \quad \nabla \lambda(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i (\nabla_{X_i} \lambda)(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k),$$

which coincides with $d\lambda$ if the torsion of ∇ is zero.

From (1.6), we see that the difference $D = \nabla^2 - \nabla^1$ of two connections on M is a global section of $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L}, \Omega^1(M, \mathcal{L})) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$, i.e., a *tensor field*. Using this remark it follows easily that if ∇ is an arbitrary connection, then

$$(1.16) \quad \nabla_X^0 Y := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X + [X, Y])$$

is a torsionless connection.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{C}$ -module of finite rank s over a ringed space $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ of rank m , we may use local bases $(X_i)_{i=1}^m$ of $\mathcal{L}$, and local bases $(\xi_u)_{u=1}^s$ of $\mathcal{M}$, and get the local equations of the connection ∇

$$(1.17) \quad \nabla_{X_i} \xi_u = \sum_{v=1}^s \Gamma_{iu}^v \xi_v,$$

where the *connection coefficients* Γ_{iu}^v are local sections of $\mathcal{C}$. The local equations (1.17) may be used in exactly the same way as in classical differential geometry e.g., [15]. For instance, let us take the case $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}$, and look

for the local expression of the torsion. For this purpose, we first notice the existence of *structure equations*:

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^m s_{ij}^k X_k, \quad (s_{ij}^k = -s_{ji}^k \in \mathcal{C}).$$

Then, if we put $T(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m T_{ij}^k X_k$, we get

$$T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \Gamma_{ji}^k - s_{ij}^k.$$

Clearly, in the case of a differentiable manifold M a connection (1.5) on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}$ is just a linear connection on M . In the case of a complex analytic manifold a connection is the same thing as a holomorphic connection on the manifold, and it exists iff the *Atiyah class* of the manifold vanishes [1]. In the case of the transversal bundle of a foliation a connection (1.5) on $\mathcal{L}$ is defined by a *projectable connection* of the foliation, and it exists iff the *Atiyah class of the foliation* vanishes [13]. Therefore, not every ringed space has connections. In the case of a Lie algebroid a connection (1.5) is a *connection of the algebroid*, and any Lie algebroid has connections.

As a matter of fact, the Atiyah class method [7, 8], yields a general result namely,

Theorem 1.1 *For each HRL-ringed space of finite rank $(M, K, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$, there exists a well defined sheaf-cohomology class $a(M) \in H^1(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}))$ such that existence of a connection on $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent with $a(M) = 0$.*

Proof. For any HRL-ringed space $(M, K, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ there exists a sheaf $\mathcal{J}$, which plays the role of the jet bundle J^1TM of a differentiable manifold M . Namely, $\mathcal{J}$ is the submodule of $\mathcal{L} \oplus \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ which consists of the pairs $(X, -\text{ad}_X + \varphi)$, where $X \in \mathcal{L}, \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ and $\text{ad}_X := [X, \]$. The fact that $\mathcal{J}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$ -module follows from

$$(1.18) \quad (fX, -f\text{ad}_X + f\varphi) = (fX, -\text{ad}_{fX} + f\varphi - (df)X).$$

Now, we notice the existence of the following exact sequence of $\mathcal{C}$ -module sheaves

$$(1.19) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\epsilon(\varphi) = (0, \varphi)$, $p(X, -\text{ad}_X + \varphi) = X$.

Then, there exists a connection ∇ on $\mathcal{L}$ iff there exists a splitting of (1.19) i.e., a homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that $\psi \circ \epsilon = id$. Indeed, if ψ is given, $\nabla X = \psi(X, -\text{ad}_X)$ is a connection. Conversely, if ∇ is a connection, $\psi(X, -\text{ad}_X + \varphi) = \nabla X + \varphi$ is the required homomorphism.

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}$ is locally free and of finite rank, the sequence (1.19) behaves as a sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces, and leads to the exact sequence

$$(1.20) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{p'} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon'} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0,$$

then to the corresponding exact sequence of sheaf-cohomology

$$(1.21) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^0(M, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} H^0(M, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(M, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

In (1.20) and (1.21), the morphisms $\epsilon', \epsilon^*, p', p^*$ are induced by ϵ and p of (1.19), $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}$ are the second, third and fourth sheaf of the sequence (1.20), respectively, and δ is the connecting morphism.

It follows that the condition for the existence of a connection is that the identity belongs to the image of ϵ^* , and this is equivalent to $\delta(id) = 0$. Hence, if we define the *Atiyah class* by $a(M) = \delta(id) \in H^1(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}))$, we precisely have the required result. Q.e.d.

Now, again, let $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ be an HRL-ringed space. A 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ will be called *non degenerate* if the sheaf homomorphism $b_\omega : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$ defined by

$$b_\omega(X)(Y) = \omega(X, Y) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{L})$$

is an isomorphism. The inverse of this isomorphism will be denoted by $\sharp_\omega$. A 2-form ω which is non degenerate and *closed*, i.e., $d\omega = 0$ is called a *symplectic form*, and, then, $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \omega)$ is called a *symplectic ringed space*.

Example 1.4 The differentiable and holomorphic symplectic manifolds obviously are examples of symplectic ringed spaces.

Example 1.5 Let (M, ω) , where M is a $(2n + h)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold, and ω is a closed 2-form of rank $2n$ over M , be a *presymplectic manifold*. It is well known (e.g., [11]) that $\ker \omega$ is tangent to an h -dimensional foliation $\mathcal{S}$, called the *characteristic foliation*, and that the form ω is projectable with respect to this foliation. By looking at the earlier Example 1.3, we see that the sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ of germs of projectable cross sections of the transversal bundle $\nu\mathcal{S}$ defines a ringed space structure over M ($\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$), which

is endowed with the symplectic form induced by ω .

Example 1.6 As in Example 1.2, let $A \rightarrow M$ be a Lie algebroid of rank $2m$, with the anchor map $\iota : A \rightarrow TM$. Then, any non degenerate cross section $\omega \in \Gamma \wedge^2 A^*$ which is closed with respect to the exterior differential d_A (see [9, 12]) makes the ringed space $(M, \mathcal{C}^\infty(M), \underline{A})$ into a symplectic ringed space. It is also convenient to say that (A, ω) is a *symplectic Lie algebroid*. Following are some concrete examples of symplectic Lie algebroids.

Example 1.7 If $P \in \Gamma \wedge^2 TM$ is a regular Poisson structure of M , and if $\mathcal{S}$ is the symplectic foliation of P (e.g., [17]), then $T\mathcal{S}$ with the leafwise 2-form provided by the Poisson bracket of P is a symplectic Lie algebroid.

Example 1.8 Let (M, W) be an arbitrary Poisson manifold. Then T^*M is a Lie algebroid of anchor $\iota = \sharp_W$. A symplectic structure on this algebroid is a non degenerate bivector field Q on M which is a cocycle in the Poisson-Lichnerowicz cohomology i.e., $[W, Q] = 0$ (e.g., [17]).

Some of the usual symplectic notions straightforwardly transfer to symplectic ringed spaces. For instance, we may define $\Pi \in \text{Alt}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega^1(M) \times \Omega^1(M), \mathcal{C})$ by

$$\Pi(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \langle \sigma_1, \sharp_\omega \sigma_2 \rangle, \quad \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Omega^1(M),$$

and also define $\sharp_\Pi := \sharp_\omega$. Furthermore, we may define the *Hamiltonian gradient* X_f of any $f \in \mathcal{C}$ by $X_f = -\sharp_\Pi df$, and the *Poisson bracket*

$$(1.22) \quad \{f, g\} := \omega(X_f, X_g) = \iota(X_f)g = -\iota(X_g)f = \Pi(df, dg).$$

The evaluation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d\omega(X_f, X_g, X_h) = \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} \iota(X_f)\omega(X_g, X_h) - \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} \omega([X_f, X_g], X_h) \\ &= \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} \{f, \{g, h\}\} - \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} \langle dh, [X_f, X_g] \rangle = \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} \{f, \{g, h\}\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} (\iota[X_f, X_g])h = \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} \{f, \{g, h\}\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} (\iota(X_f)\iota(X_g) - \iota(X_g)\iota(X_f))h = - \sum_{\text{Cycl.}(f,g,h)} \{f, \{g, h\}\} \end{aligned}$$

shows that the bracket (1.22) satisfies the Jacobi identity. Since the Leibniz rule also obviously holds, we have got a structure of *Poisson algebras sheaf* on $\mathcal{C}$. (See, for instance, [17] for the definition of a Poisson algebra.)

However, not all the classical symplectic properties hold. For instance, the Jacobi identity for the Poisson bracket (1.22) implies

$$(1.23) \quad X_{\{f,g\}} - [X_f, X_g] \in \ker \iota,$$

hence, the result is zero only at the *injectivity points* of ι . Another negative example is obtained if we consider the operation of a *Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket* on an HRL-ringed space (e.g., [9]). Then, the general algebraic computations of [6] hold, and we have the formula

$$(1.24) \quad [\Pi, \Pi](df, dg, dh) = 2 \sum_{Cycl(f,g,h)} \{\{f, g\}, h\}.$$

But, since $\{df \mid f \in \mathcal{C}\}$ may not span $\Omega^1(M)$, generally, we may have $[\Pi, \Pi] \neq 0$.

Let us come back to the symplectic Lie algebroid (A, ω) of Example 1.6. Then, the symplectic objects $\flat_\omega$, Π , X_f , etc. have interpretations in terms of vector bundles: an isomorphism $\flat_\omega : A \rightarrow A^*$, a cross section $\Pi \in \Gamma \wedge^2 A$, cross sections $X_f \in \Gamma A$, etc. The Poisson bracket (1.22) becomes a Poisson algebra structure on $C^\infty(M)$, and there exists a corresponding Poisson bivector field $P \in \Gamma \wedge^2 TM$ such that

$$(1.25) \quad \{f, g\} = \Pi(d_A f, d_A g) = P(df, dg), \quad [P, P] = 0,$$

where the final bracket is the usual Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on M .

For a better understanding of the relation between P and Π , let us consider the transposed homomorphism $\iota' : T^*M \rightarrow A^*$ of the anchor $\iota : A \rightarrow TM$. Then we have

$$(1.26) \quad d_A f = \iota'(df), \quad \sharp_P = -\iota \circ \sharp_\Pi \circ \iota'.$$

Notice that the injectivity points of ι are the same as the surjectivity points of ι' . Accordingly, from (1.23) and (1.24), we see that the relations

$$(1.27) \quad X_{\{f,g\}} = [X_f, X_g], \quad [\Pi, \Pi]_A = 0$$

hold at the injectivity points of ι . If the set of injectivity points of ι is dense in M , the equalities (1.27) hold everywhere on M .

The considerations above suggest the following definition: a Poisson structure P on a differentiable manifold M will be called a *quasi-symplectic Poisson structure* if it is induced by a symplectic Lie algebroid, via formula (1.25).

Example 1.7 tells us that every regular Poisson structure is quasi-symplectic. Other quasi-symplectic Poisson structures are provided by Example 1.8. If (M, W) is a Poisson manifold which has a non singular Poisson 2-cocycle Q (i.e., $Q \in \Gamma \wedge^2 TM$, $\text{rank } Q = \dim M$, $[W, Q] = 0$), the corresponding object Π of (1.25) is a usual 2-form on M , and (1.25) defines a quasi-symplectic Poisson structure given by

$$(1.28) \quad \{f, g\} = \Pi(X_f^W, X_g^W) \quad (f, g \in C^\infty(M)),$$

where the arguments are the W -Hamiltonian vector fields of the functions f, g .

For a more concrete situation of this kind, let (M, Π, ϕ) be a *symplectic-Nijenhuis manifold*, with the symplectic form Π and the Nijenhuis tensor ϕ (see, for instance, [18]). Then, M has a Poisson structure W defined by $\sharp_W = \phi \circ \sharp_Q$, where Q is the bivector field given by $\sharp_Q = \flat_\Pi^{-1}$. W is the first structure of the Poisson hierarchy of the symplectic-Nijenhuis manifold M , and it is compatible with the Poisson structure Q of M i.e., $[W, Q] = 0$ [18]. Since Q is non degenerate, we are in the situation described by the previous paragraph, and we get a quasi-symplectic Poisson structure

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g\} &= \Pi(\sharp_W df, \sharp_W dg) = \langle \flat_\Pi \sharp_W df, \sharp_W dg \rangle \\ &= - \langle \sharp_W \flat_\Pi \sharp_W df, dg \rangle = - \langle \phi \sharp_W df, dg \rangle = - \langle \phi^2 \sharp_Q df, dg \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Up to the sign, this is the second structure of the Poisson hierarchy of (M, Π, ϕ) [18]. Thus, we have proven

Proposition 1.1 *The second Poisson structure of the Poisson hierarchy of a symplectic-Nijenhuis manifold is a quasi-symplectic Poisson structure.*

Of course, the structures of Proposition 1.1 may have singular points.

One of the main ingredients of Fedosov quantization is a symplectic connection. The well known procedure of constructing symplectic connections on symplectic manifolds, as presented for instance in [16], holds without modification on a symplectic ringed space.

Namely, if $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ is a ringed space which possesses a non degenerate 2-form ω (*almost symplectic ringed space*), a connection ∇ on $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e., on M)

is said to *preserve* ω if $\nabla_X \omega = 0$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{L}$. If there exists a connection ∇^0 on M , the formula

$$(1.29) \quad \nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^0 Y + \Theta(X, Y) + A(X, Y),$$

where

$$(1.30) \quad \omega(\Theta(X, Y), Z) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^0 \omega)(Y, Z), \quad \omega(A(X, Y), Z) = B(X, Y, Z),$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\forall B \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ which satisfies the condition $B(X, Y, Z) = B(X, Z, Y)$, yields all the connections of M which preserve ω . Θ and A are well defined by (1.30) because ω is non degenerate.

Furthermore, formula (1.14) shows that a torsionless, ω -preserving connection may exist only if $d\omega = 0$. Conversely, if we are in this latter case, and if we assume that ∇^0 has zero torsion (e.g., this ∇^0 is given by applying (1.16) to the original ∇^0), it turns out that ∇ of (1.29), and with

$$(1.31) \quad B(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{6}[(\nabla_Y^0 \omega)(X, Z) + (\nabla_Z^0 \omega)(X, Y)]$$

is an ω -preserving, torsionless connection. Indeed, from (1.29), and since $d\omega = \nabla^0 \omega$ (in the sense of (1.15)), we deduce that $\omega(T_{\nabla}(X, Y), Z) = 0$ for the chosen value (1.31) of B .

By definition, a connection which preserves the symplectic form ω and has zero torsion is called a *symplectic connection* on the symplectic ringed space $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \omega)$. Above, we saw that if M has an arbitrary connection it also has symplectic connections, and we wrote down the expression of one symplectic connection ∇ , defined by means of (1.31). It follows that all the symplectic connections are given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + C(X, Y),$$

where $Q(X, Y, Z) := \omega(C(X, Y), Z)$ is symmetric in all its arguments.

In analogy with Riemannian geometry, one defines the *covariant curvature tensor* of a symplectic connection namely,

$$(1.32) \quad S(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = -\omega(X_1, \Phi(X_2)(X_3, X_4)),$$

where Φ is given by (1.8). This tensor has the following symmetry properties

$$(1.33) \quad \begin{aligned} S(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= -S(X_1, X_2, X_4, X_3), \\ S(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + S(X_1, X_3, X_4, X_2) + S(X_1, X_4, X_2, X_3) &= 0, \\ S(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= S(X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4). \end{aligned}$$

The first equality is obvious, the second is the *Bianchi identity* (1.13), and the third follows by expressing the derivations via the connection in the identity

$$(\iota(X_3)\iota(X_4) - \iota(X_4)\iota(X_3) - \iota([X_3, X_4]))(\omega(X_1, X_2)) = 0,$$

where $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \in \mathcal{L}$.

Concerning examples of symplectic connections in other cases than symplectic manifolds, we first quote the case of a presymplectic manifold (M, ω) . It is easy to see that a symplectic connection on M seen as a symplectic ringed space, as in Example 1.5, may be identified with a connection on M seen as a differentiable manifold, which preserves ω , has torsion tangent to the characteristic foliation of ω , and defines a transversal projectable connection of the same foliation. Details on the construction of these connections can be found in [19].

Another interesting case is that of a symplectic structure Q on the tangent Lie algebroid T^*M of a Poisson manifold (M, W) (see Example 1.8). In this case, it is natural to start with a connection D on the differentiable manifold M which satisfies the condition $D_X Q = 0$ ($X \in \Gamma TM$). D exists since (M, Q^{-1}) is an almost symplectic manifold but, generally, D has a non zero torsion T_D . The connection D yields a connection ∇ on the Lie algebroid T^*M [17, 21] by putting

$$(1.34) \quad \nabla_\alpha \beta = D_{\sharp_W \alpha} \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma T^*M.$$

A straightforward computation, which uses the Lie bracket of T^*M [9, 17]

$$\{\alpha, \beta\} = L_{\sharp_W \alpha} \beta - L_{\sharp_W \beta} \alpha - d(W(\alpha, \beta)),$$

yields the torsion T_∇ by the formula

$$(1.35) \quad \langle T_\nabla(\alpha, \beta), X \rangle = \alpha(T_D(\sharp_W \beta, X)) - \beta(T_D(\sharp_W \alpha, X)) - (D_X W)(\alpha, \beta).$$

Therefore, generally, $T_\nabla \neq 0$, and we must apply the general algorithm to get a torsionless symplectic connection on (T^*M, Q) . The passage from ∇ of (1.34) to a torsionless connection is given by (1.16) i.e.,

$$(1.36) \quad \nabla_\alpha^0 \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\alpha \beta + \nabla_\beta \alpha + \{\alpha, \beta\}).$$

Furthermore, from (1.34), (1.36), and $d_{T^*M} Q = 0$ we get

$$(1.37) \quad (\nabla_\alpha^0 Q)(\beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}Q(\alpha, T_\nabla(\beta, \gamma)).$$

Finally, from (1.30), (1.31), (1.37), we deduce the expression of a symplectic connection

$$(1.38) \quad \check{\nabla}_\alpha \beta = \nabla_\alpha^0 \beta + E(\alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$(1.39) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(E(\alpha, \beta), \gamma) &= \frac{1}{4} Q(\alpha, T_\nabla(\beta, \gamma)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{12} [Q(\beta, T_\nabla(\alpha, \gamma)) + Q(\gamma, T_\nabla(\alpha, \beta))]. \end{aligned}$$

2 Fedosov Quantization

In this section, we describe the Fedosov quantization procedure, following the original works [4, 5], with minor modifications, and emphasizing the ringed space setting. Other expositions of this procedure can be found in [3, 10, 20], etc.

First we recall the construction of the *Weyl algebra* of a complex symplectic vector space $(E, \omega \in \wedge^2 E)$ of dimension $2m$.

We begin with the associative, commutative algebra of formal Laurent series in the parameter $\hbar$

$$(2.1) \quad W(E) := \{w = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_{ki} \hbar^k \mid t_{ki} \in \odot^i E^*, i + 2k \geq 0\},$$

where $\odot$ denotes the symmetric tensor product, and it defines the multiplication in $W(E)$.

This algebra will be graded by asking

$$(2.2) \quad \deg t_{ki} = i, \deg \hbar = 2,$$

and we will write the element $w \in W(E)$ which appears in (2.1) as

$$(2.3) \quad w = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \hat{w}_p \hbar^p, \quad \hat{w}_p = \sum_{2k+i=p} t_{ki} \hbar^k.$$

In terms of vector spaces, this means

$$(2.4) \quad W(E) = \prod_{p=0}^{\infty} V_p(E), \quad V_p(E) = \prod_{k=-\infty}^{[p/2]} \odot^{p-2k} E^*.$$

Furthermore, consider the *contraction operators*

$$(2.5) \quad C_\omega^p : (\odot^i E^*) \otimes (\odot^j E^*) \rightarrow \odot^{i+j-2p} E^*$$

defined by 0 if $p > \min(i, j)$, and by

$$(2.6) \quad C_\omega^p(\alpha, \beta)(e_1, \dots, e_{i+j-2p}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{i+j-2p}} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_p=1}^m \frac{1}{(i+j-2p)!}$$

$$\cdot \alpha(b_{a_1^*}, \dots, b_{a_p^*}, e_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(i-p)}) \beta(b_{a_1}, \dots, b_{a_p}, e_{\sigma(i-p+1)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(i+j-2p)})$$

if $p \leq \min(i, j)$. In (2.6), S is the symmetric group, $e_l \in E$ ($l = 1, \dots, i+j-2p$), and (b_a, b_{a^*}) ($a^* := a + m$) is an arbitrary ω -symplectic basis of E .

The contractions extend to series (2.1), and may be used to define the *Moyal product*

$$(2.7) \quad w \circ w' := \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} \left(-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^q C_\omega^q(w, w') \quad (w, w' \in W(E)),$$

which makes $W(E)$ into a graded (because of (2.2)), associative algebra, called the *Weyl algebra* of (E, ω) .

Computations in the Weyl algebra become easy if we consider an arbitrary basis $(u_i)_{i=1}^{2m}$ of E , and represent an element $t \in \odot^q E^*$ by

$$(2.8) \quad t = t_{i_1, \dots, i_q} y^{j_1} \dots y^{j_q} = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} \tau_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2m}} (y^1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (y^{2m})^{\alpha_{2m}} = \sum_{|\alpha|=q} \tau_\alpha y^\alpha.$$

In (2.8), (y^i) are the coordinates of a generic vector of E with respect to the basis (u_i) , and for their indices we use (here and subsequently) the Einstein summation convention. Furthermore, the coefficients t are symmetric, the second equality is obtained by collecting the various factors y^i into a power of y^i , and the third equality is the formal notation of its left hand side, i.e.,

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2m}), \quad (\alpha_i \geq 0), \quad y^\alpha = (y^1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (y^{2m})^{\alpha_{2m}}, \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{2m}.$$

With this representation, an element $w \in W(E)$ becomes

$$(2.9) \quad w(y, \hbar) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k t_{k,\alpha} y^\alpha, \quad |\alpha| + 2k \geq 0,$$

the usual product of polynomials corresponds to the symmetric tensor product, and the Moyal product is

$$(2.10) \quad w \circ w' = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} \left(-\frac{ih}{2} \right)^q \omega^{i_1 j_1} \dots \omega^{i_q j_q} \frac{\partial^q w}{\partial y^{i_1} \dots \partial y^{i_q}} \frac{\partial^q w'}{\partial y^{j_1} \dots \partial y^{j_q}} \\ = \exp \left(-\frac{ih}{2} \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^j} \right) (w(z, h) w'(s, h)) /_{z=s=y},$$

where $\omega^{ih} \omega_{hk} = \delta_k^i$ and ω_{hk} are the u -components of ω .

It follows easily that the center $Z(W(E))$ is the algebra of formal power series $\mathbf{C}[[h]]$ [4, 5].

The next step consists of *enlarging* the Weyl algebra $W(E)$ to the associative algebra

$$(2.11) \quad \hat{W}(E) := W(E) \otimes (\oplus_{q=0}^{2m} \wedge^q E^*).$$

If (u_i) is the basis used in (2.8), and if (ν^i) is its dual cobasis, $\lambda \in \hat{W}(E)$ may be seen as

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{2m} h^k \lambda_{kpqi_1 \dots i_p j_1 \dots j_q} y^{i_1} \dots y^{i_p} \nu^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \nu^{j_q}, \quad p + 2k \geq 0,$$

where the coefficients are symmetric in the indices i , and skew-symmetric in the indices j . The product of $\hat{W}(E)$ is defined by (2.10) with a wedge product of the partial derivatives which appear in that formula.

In $\hat{W}(E)$, the *commutant* is defined as the natural extension of

$$(2.13) \quad [\lambda, \mu] = \lambda \circ \mu - (-1)^{\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\mu}} \mu \circ \lambda,$$

where tilde denotes the degree of the wedge product factor of an element of $\hat{W}(E)$.

The center $Z(\hat{W}(E))$ is $\mathbf{C}[[h]] \otimes (\oplus_{q=0}^{2m} \wedge^q E^*)$, and one has the *central projections* [4, 5] of λ of (2.12):

$$(2.14) \quad \lambda_0 := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{2m} h^k \lambda_{k0qj_1 \dots j_q} \nu^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \nu^{j_q} \in Z(\hat{W}(E)),$$

$$(2.15) \quad \lambda_{00} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^k \lambda_{k00} \in Z(W(E)).$$

The following basis-independent operators are essential in the subsequent computations [4, 5]:

$$(2.16) \quad \delta\lambda := \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \nu^j \wedge \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial y^j}, \quad \delta^*\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} y^j (i(u_j)\lambda).$$

These operators satisfy the properties

$$(2.17) \quad \delta^2 = 0, \quad \delta^{*2} = 0, \quad \delta(a \circ b) = (\delta a) \circ b + (-1)^{\tilde{a}} a \circ \delta b.$$

Furthermore, $\forall \lambda \in \hat{W}(E)$, one can check the *Hodge decomposition* formula

$$(2.18) \quad \lambda = \delta\delta^{-1}\lambda + \delta^{-1}\delta\lambda + \lambda_{00},$$

where δ^{-1} is defined on the (p, q) -term of (2.12) by

$$(2.19) \quad \delta^{-1}\lambda := \frac{1}{p+q} \delta^*\lambda.$$

We intend to apply the previous algebraic constructions to symplectic ringed spaces. To be able to do so, in what follows we assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a subsheaf of the sheaf of germs of continuous, complex valued functions on M and that $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \omega)$ is a symplectic ringed space of finite rank. Then $\mathcal{L}$ must be the sheaf of germs of cross sections of a complex symplectic vector bundle over M [14]. This implies that the rank of the space is even, say $2m$, and that $\mathcal{L}$ has local *symplectic bases* i.e., local bases $(X_i)_{i=1}^{2m}$ such that

$$\omega(X_a, X_b) = 0, \quad \omega(X_a, X_{b+m}) = \delta_{ab}, \quad \omega(X_{a+m}, X_{b+m}) = 0, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then the previous algebraic constructions may be performed on each stalk of $\mathcal{L}$, and using local symplectic bases of germs (X_i) . Accordingly, we get *sheaves of Weyl algebras* $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$, $\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L})$, and the formulas developed earlier in this section hold, with germs instead of algebraic tensors overall. In particular, there is a *central sheaf* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{C}[[h]]$, which consists of germs of formal power series in h , and a *central sheaf* $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{C}[[h]] \otimes (\oplus_{q=0}^{2m} \wedge^q \mathcal{L})$.

In the ringed setting, Fedosov's quantization will be an embedding of $\mathcal{C}[[h]]$ onto the *parallel germs* of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$ with respect to a *generalized symplectic connection*. Accordingly, we shall assume that $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \omega)$ has connections (it has a vanishing Atiyah class $a(M)$, as defined in Section 1), and take a

torsionless, symplectic connection ∇ on this space. Then, ∇ extends to a *covariant exterior differential* $\nabla : \hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L})$ defined by [4, 5]

$$(2.20) \quad \nabla(t \otimes \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \nu^i \wedge \nabla_{X_i}(t \otimes \theta) \quad (t \in \odot^q \mathcal{L}^*, \theta \in \wedge^s \mathcal{L}^*),$$

where (X_i) is a local basis of $\mathcal{L}$ and (ν^i) is the dual cobasis. The definition is invariant by a change of the local basis, and (2.20) reduces to (1.15) if there is no symmetric factor t . Since the connection has zero torsion, in the case of a differential form θ one has $\nabla\theta = d\theta$. Furthermore, since $\nabla\omega = 0$, (2.10) shows that

$$(2.21) \quad \nabla(\lambda \circ \mu) = (\nabla\lambda) \circ \mu + (-1)^{\tilde{\lambda}} \lambda \circ \nabla\mu, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L}).$$

The operator (2.20) is what is actually needed in Fedosov quantization, and, subsequently, we will think of this operator when referring to a connection. Fedosov [4, 5] writes the operator ∇ in a convenient way as follows. Consider the local equations (1.17) of the connection ∇ , and assume that the basis $(\xi_i = X_i)$ used in these equations is symplectic. Then, the symplectic character of the connection is equivalent to

$$(2.22) \quad \Gamma_{ijk} = \Gamma_{jik} \quad (\Gamma_{ijk} := \omega_{is}\Gamma_{jk}^s).$$

Accordingly, $\forall x \in M$, there exists a germ

$$(2.23) \quad \Gamma := \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{ijk} y^i y^j \nu^k \in (\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L}))_x,$$

and *Fedosov's formula* is

$$(2.24) \quad \nabla\lambda = d\lambda + \frac{i}{h} [\Gamma, \lambda],$$

for λ given by (2.12), and with d applied as if h, y would be constants. Formula (2.24) is easily checked for $\lambda = \lambda_i \nu^i$ and $\lambda = \lambda_i y^i$, and it holds in the general case because d and the commutant $[\Gamma, \]$ are derivations of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L})$. Notice that the germs (2.23) do not define a global section of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L})$.

The same method yields the formulas [4, 5]

$$(2.25) \quad \delta\lambda = -\frac{i}{h} [\varpi, \lambda], \quad \varpi := \delta^*\omega = \omega_{ij} y^i \nu^j,$$

$$(2.26) \quad \nabla\delta + \delta\nabla = 0,$$

$$(2.27) \quad \nabla^2\lambda = \frac{i}{h}[S, \lambda], \quad S := -\frac{1}{4}S_{ijkl}y^iy^j\nu^k \wedge \nu^l,$$

S_{ijkl} being the components of the covariant curvature tensor of the symplectic connection ∇ , which is known to be symmetric in the first two arguments and skew symmetric in the last two arguments (see (1.33)).

Fedosov's formula (2.24) suggests a definition of *generalized symplectic connections* [4, 5] as operators

$$(2.28) \quad \hat{\nabla}\lambda = \nabla\lambda + \frac{i}{h}[\gamma, \lambda],$$

where

$$(2.29) \quad \gamma = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} h^k \gamma_{ki_1 \dots i_p j} y^{i_1} \dots y^{i_p} \nu^j \quad (p + 2k \geq 0)$$

are germs which define a global section of $\Gamma(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^*)$. Then, a straightforward computation yields the *generalized curvature* Φ defined by means of the formulas

$$(2.30) \quad \hat{\nabla}^2\lambda = \frac{i}{h}[\Phi, \lambda], \quad \Phi = S + \nabla\gamma + \frac{i}{h}\gamma^2,$$

and the *Bianchi identity*

$$(2.31) \quad \hat{\nabla}\Phi = \nabla\Phi + \frac{i}{h}[\gamma, \Phi] = 0.$$

By definition, if $\hat{\nabla}^2\lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L})$, $\hat{\nabla}$ is called an *Abelian connection*.

Now, we come to the result which is at the heart of Fedosov quantization [4, 5, 3, 20]

Theorem 2.1 *Let $(M, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}, \omega)$ be a symplectic ringed space of finite rank $2m$, with the sheaf $\mathcal{C}$ being a subsheaf of germs of continuous, complex valued functions, and which has connections. Then, there exist generalized, symplectic, Abelian connections $\hat{\nabla}$ on M . Furthermore, for any Abelian connection $\hat{\nabla}$, for any $a \in \mathcal{C}[[h]]$, there exists a unique $\lambda \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$ with central projection $\lambda_{00} = a$, such that $\hat{\nabla}\lambda = 0$.*

Proof. Consider a generalized connection (2.28), where $\gamma = \varpi + r$ for ϖ given by (2.25), and for an element

$$(2.32) \quad r = \sum_{p \geq 2}^{\infty} \hat{r}_p \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^*$$

which satisfies the condition $\delta^{-1}r = 0$. The last condition implies that r has the central projection $r_0 = 0$, therefore, by the Hodge decomposition (2.18) $r = \delta^{-1}\delta r$.

The curvature form of this connection $\hat{\nabla}$ is given by (2.30) and, in view of (2.10), (2.13), (2.25) and $\nabla\omega = 0$, it becomes

$$(2.33) \quad \Phi = S + \nabla r - \delta r + \frac{i}{h}r^2 - \omega,$$

Since $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{L}))$, the condition

$$(2.34) \quad \delta r = S + \nabla r + \frac{i}{h}r^2$$

ensures $\hat{\nabla}^2 = 0$.

We show that (2.34) has a unique solution r with the required properties. Uniqueness will ensure that the germs r define a global cross section of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^*$. Indeed, by applying to (2.34) the operator δ^{-1} , we get

$$(2.35) \quad \delta^{-1}\delta r = r = \delta^{-1}S + \delta^{-1}\nabla r + \frac{i}{h}\delta^{-1}(r^2),$$

which is equivalent to the recurrence formula

$$(2.36) \quad \hat{r}_p = (\widehat{\delta^{-1}S})_p + \delta^{-1}\nabla \hat{r}_{p-1} + \frac{i}{h}\delta^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{p-3} \hat{r}_i \circ \hat{r}_{p-1-i}\right).$$

(2.32) and (2.36) imply $\hat{r}_2 = 0$, and, then,

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{r}_p &= (\delta^{-1}\nabla)^{p-3}\delta^{-1}S \\ &+ \frac{i}{h} \sum_{s=0}^{p-7} [(\delta^{-1}\nabla)^s \delta^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=3}^{p-s-2} \hat{r}_j \circ \hat{r}_{p-s-j-1} \right)] \quad (p \geq 3). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it remains to establish that the obtained germ r satisfies equation (2.34). From (2.35), using the Hodge decomposition (2.18) and the Bianchi identity (1.33), we get

$$(2.38) \quad \delta r = \delta\delta^{-1}S + \delta\delta^{-1}\nabla r + \frac{i}{h}\delta\delta^{-1}r^2 = S + \nabla r + \frac{i}{h}r^2 - \delta^{-1}\delta(\nabla r + \frac{i}{h}r^2).$$

Formulas (2.33), (2.38) give us the curvature

$$\Phi = \delta^{-1}\delta(\nabla r + \frac{i}{h}r^2) - \omega,$$

and, since

$$\hat{\nabla}\omega \stackrel{(2.28)}{=} \nabla\omega + \frac{i}{h}[\varpi + r, \omega] = \nabla\omega = d\omega = 0,$$

the Bianchi identity (2.31) yields

$$(2.39) \quad \hat{\nabla}\delta^{-1}\delta(\nabla r + \frac{i}{h}r^2) = 0.$$

But, if we look at any λ such that $\delta\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda_{00} = 0$, we have $\lambda = \delta\delta^{-1}\lambda$, and we see that

$$\hat{\nabla}\delta^{-1}\lambda \stackrel{(2.28)}{=} \nabla\delta^{-1}\lambda - \delta\delta^{-1}\lambda + \frac{i}{h}[r, \delta^{-1}\lambda] = 0$$

implies

$$(2.40) \quad \lambda = \nabla\delta^{-1}\lambda + \frac{i}{h}[r, \delta^{-1}\lambda].$$

This is equivalent to a recurrence relation

$$(2.41) \quad \hat{\lambda}_p = \nabla\delta^{-1}\hat{\lambda}_{p-1} + \frac{i}{h}(\text{terms in } \hat{\lambda}_i, \ i \leq p-4),$$

which yields $\lambda = 0$. Since

$$\lambda = \delta(\nabla r + \frac{i}{h}r^2)$$

satisfies the required condition, the last term of (2.38) vanishes, and we are done.

Now, we address the second part of the theorem.

From (2.25), (2.28), we see that $\hat{\nabla}\lambda = 0$ means

$$(2.42) \quad \delta\lambda = D\lambda, \quad D\lambda = \nabla\lambda + \frac{i}{h}[r, \lambda].$$

Since $\lambda \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$, $\delta^{-1}\lambda = 0$, and (2.18), (2.42) yield

$$(2.43) \quad \lambda = \lambda_{00} + \delta^{-1}D\lambda = a + \delta^{-1}D\lambda,$$

and, with the decomposition $\lambda = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \hat{\lambda}_p$, we get the recurrence formula

$$(2.44) \quad \hat{\lambda}_p = (\hat{a})_p + \delta^{-1}\nabla\hat{\lambda}_{p-1} + \frac{i}{h}\delta^{-1}([r, \lambda]_{p-1}),$$

which uniquely defines all the terms $\hat{\lambda}_p$.

Particularly, if

$$(2.45) \quad a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^k f_k,$$

the first eight terms λ_p are given by

$$(2.46) \quad \hat{\lambda}_p = \sum_{s=0}^{[p/2]} h^s (\delta^{-1}\nabla)^{p-2s} f_s, \quad 0 \leq p \leq 4,$$

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_p &= \sum_{s=0}^{[p/2]} h^s (\delta^{-1}\nabla)^{p-2s} f_s \\ &+ \frac{i}{h} \sum_{s=0}^{p-5} (\delta^{-1}\nabla)^s \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=3}^{p-s-2} [\hat{r}_j, \hat{\lambda}_{p-s-j-1}] \quad 5 \leq p \leq 8. \end{aligned}$$

The terms $\hat{\lambda}_p$ for larger values of p include commutants with several factors r . If for any $\mu \in \hat{W}(\mathcal{L})$ we denote

$$(2.48) \quad \bar{\mu} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\delta^{-1}\nabla)^s \mu,$$

and reorder the terms of λ , as determined by (2.46), (2.47), etc. we obtain

$$(2.49) \quad \lambda = \bar{a} + \frac{i}{h}\delta^{-1}[r, \bar{a}] + \left(\frac{i}{h}\right)^2 \delta^{-1}[r, \delta^{-1}\overline{[r, \bar{a}]}] + \dots$$

Finally, we must check that (2.43) implies $\hat{\nabla}\lambda = 0$. First, we notice that (2.43) implies

$$(2.50) \quad \delta^{-1}\hat{\nabla}\lambda = \delta^{-1}D\lambda - \delta^{-1}\delta\lambda = \lambda - a - \delta^{-1}\delta\lambda = \delta\delta^{-1}\lambda = 0.$$

This allows us to use the Hodge decomposition (2.18) for $\hat{\nabla}\lambda$, the Abelian character of $\hat{\nabla}$, and (2.43) to get

$$(2.51) \quad \hat{\nabla}\lambda = \delta^{-1}\delta\hat{\nabla}\lambda = \delta^{-1}(D\hat{\nabla}\lambda - \hat{\nabla}^2\lambda) = \delta^{-1}D\hat{\nabla}\lambda.$$

Since the operator $\delta^{-1}D$ raises the degree, (2.51) yields a recurrence relation for the homogeneous terms of $\hat{\nabla}\lambda$, in the sense of the decomposition (2.3), which shows that $\hat{\nabla}\lambda = 0$. Q.e.d.

As a consequence of this main theorem we see that there exists an injection

$$(2.52) \quad l : \mathcal{C}[[h]] \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}),$$

which sends the formal power series $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{L})$ to the $\hat{\nabla}$ -parallel section λ of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$ which has the central projection $\lambda_{00} = a$.

This injection precisely is Fedosov quantization. The reason to see it as a quantization process with links to quantum physics, is that the mapping l leads to a deformation of the commutative product fg into a non commutative product, also known as a *star product* [2], namely,

$$(2.53) \quad f * g = l^{-1}(l(f) \circ l(g)), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{C}.$$

The definition is correct since (2.21) and (2.28) show that $l(f) \circ l(g)$ belongs to the image of l .

In various concrete cases such as the ones in Examples 1.5-1.9 the mapping l is defined by corresponding versions of formulas (2.37), (2.46), (2.47), (2.49), etc. In particular, since a Lie algebroid always has connections, our presentation shows that Fedosov quantization works for the regular Poisson manifolds and, also, for the quasi-symplectic Poisson manifolds, even if the latter are non regular. In other cases, the vanishing Atiyah class condition is required, and it may be very restrictive. For instance, this happens in the case of holomorphic symplectic manifolds [1].

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1957), 181-207.
- [2] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization, Annals of Physics, 111 (1978), 61-110 and 111-152.
- [3] P. Dazord, Construction explicite de produits étoiles sur les variétés de Poisson régulières, Univ. Lyon I, Prépublications de l'Institut G. Desargues, UPRES-A 5028, 1997/N^o.
- [4] B. V. Fedosov, A simple geometric construction of deformation quantization, J. Differential Geometry 40 (1994), 213-238.
- [5] B. V. Fedosov, Deformation quantization and index theory, Math. Topics 9, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [6] I. M. Gel'fand and I. Ya. Dorfman, The Schouten bracket of Hamiltonian operators, Funkt. Anal. Prilozhen. 14(3) (1980), 71-74.
- [7] J. Huebschmann, Poisson cohomology and quantization, J. reine angew. Math. 408 (1990), 57-113.
- [8] F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, Invariant differential operators and the cohomology of Lie algebra sheaves, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. 113, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R. I., 1971.
- [9] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Théor. 53 (1990), 35-81.
- [10] O. Kravchenko, How to calculate the Fedosov star-product (Exercise de style), arXiv:math.SG/0008157.
- [11] P. Libermann and Ch.-M. Marle, Symplectic geometry and analytical mechanics, D. Reidel Publ. Comp. Dordrecht-Boston, 1987.
- [12] K. C. H. MacKenzie, Lie algebroids and Lie pseudo-algebras, Bull. London Math. Soc. 27 (1995), 97-147.

- [13] P. Molino, Riemannian foliations, Progress in Math. 73, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.
- [14] B. R. Tennison, Sheaf theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 20, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1975.
- [15] I. Vaisman, Cohomology and differential forms, M. Dekker Inc. New York, 1973.
- [16] I. Vaisman, Symplectic curvature tensors, Monatshefte für Math. 100 (1985), 299-327.
- [17] I. Vaisman, Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds, Progress in Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [18] I. Vaisman, Complementary 2-forms of Poisson structures, Compositio Mathematica 101 (1996), 55-75.
- [19] I. Vaisman, Connections under symplectic reduction, arXiv:math.SG/0006023.
- [20] P. Xu, Fedosov *-Products and Quantum Momentum Maps, Commun. Math. Phys. 197 (1998), 167-197.
- [21] P. Xu, Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry, Comm. Math. Phys. 200 (1999), 545-560.

Department of Math.
 Univ. of Haifa
 Haifa 31905, Israel
 E-mail: vaisman@math.haifa.ac.il